

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/720,190 | 11/25/2003 | Malka Berndt | 06530.0317 | 4220 |
| 22852 | 852 7590 11/13/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER | | | TOY, ALEX B | |
| LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413 | | | 3739 | <u> </u> |
| | | • | DATE MAILED: 11/13/2000 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | l' | | | | | |
|---|---|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
| | 10/720,190 | BERNDT, MALKA | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Alex B. Toy | 3739 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | n appears on the cover sheet w | rith the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A | ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | · | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 31 August 2006. | | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for all | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice und | der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.l | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-5,7-10,12-16,18-24,26-29,31-3 | 9,43,45-53 and 55-60 is/are p | ending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) 16,18-23,35 and 38 is/are allowed | 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,18-23,35 and 38</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,7,8,10,12-15,24,26,27,29,3</u> | <u> 1,32,34,36,37,39,43,45-50,52</u> | 2,53 and 55-59 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>3,5,9,28,33,51 and 60</u> is/are objection | ected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a | nd/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exa | miner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 August 2006</u> is/ | are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o | bjected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | the drawing(s) be held in abeya | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: | reign priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority docur | ments have been received. | | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority docur | | · · | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | · | n received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Br | , | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a | a list of the certified copies no | t received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94. Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | ~/ · | Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on August 31, 2006. The objection to the drawings is withdrawn in view of applicant's submission of new Figure 5. All previous prior art rejections are withdrawn, but new grounds of rejection are made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 24, 26, 27, 29, 31, 32, 36, 52, 53, and 55-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Woloszko (U.S. PGPub 2004/0186469 A1).

Regarding claim 24, Woloszko discloses a method of determining a state of a medical device, the method comprising:

providing a medical device having an indicator printed directly on a portion of the medical device (pg. 11, ¶ 112 and Fig. 9B), the indicator including a chemical capable of undergoing a color change when exposed to a particular environment, and the indicator

is configured to change color after a single exposure to the particular environment (pg. 11-12, ¶ 114, 116); and

viewing the medical device to determine if the indicator has changed color due to exposure to the particular environment (pg. 11-12, ¶ 114, 116 and Fig. 9B).

Regarding claim 26, Woloszko further discloses that viewing the medical device includes determining if there is a symbol on the device (Fig. 9B).

Regarding claim 27, Woloszko further discloses that the particular environment includes a chemical, since the device changes color in response to a rise in tissue temperature.

Regarding claim 29, Woloszko further discloses that the particular environment includes radiation, since heat comprises radiation.

Regarding claim 31, Woloszko further discloses that viewing the medical device includes determining if the indicator is a different color than the portion of the medical device (pg. 12, ¶ 116). Since Woloszko discloses that the indicator may be invisible unless the device is at a specific temperature, Woloszko inherently discloses that viewing the medical device includes determining if the indicator is a different color than the portion of the medical device.

Regarding claim 32, Woloszko further discloses that the medical device includes a plurality of indicators and viewing the medical device includes determining if any one of the plurality of indicators has changed color (pg. 12, ¶ 116).

Regarding claim 36, Woloszko further discloses that the indicator is stationary relative to the device during the color change (Fig. 9B).

Regarding claim 52, see the preceding rejections of claims 24 and 32.

Regarding claim 53, Woloszko further discloses that a first of the plurality of indicators is configured to change color when exposed to a first environment and not change color when exposed to a second environment different from the first environment, and a second of the plurality of indicators is configured to change color when exposed to the second environment and not change color when exposed to the first environment. Woloszko discloses that of a plurality of thermochromic temperature indicator numbers, all numeric values other than that indicative of the actual temperature condition may be invisible (pg. 12, ¶ 116).

Regarding claim 55, see the preceding rejections of claims 31 and 52.

Regarding claim 56, see the preceding rejections of claims 24 and 52.

Regarding claim 57, see the preceding rejection of claim 52 and only the part of the rejection of claim 15 that corresponds to Woloszko.

Regarding claim 58, see the preceding rejections of claims 36 and 52.

Regarding claim 59, see the preceding rejections of claims 24 and 52.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,190

Art Unit: 3739

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 10, 12, 13, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Truckai (U.S. PGPub 2003/0216732 A1) in view of Truckai (U.S. PGPub 2003/0220637 A1)).

Regarding claim 1, Truckai ('732) discloses a device to perform a medical procedure comprising:

a medical device 580 (Fig. 25); and

an indicator 525 produced directly on the medical device, the indicator including a chemical capable of undergoing a color change when exposed to a particular environment (pg. 13, ¶ 142-143 and Fig. 24),

wherein the indicator is configured to be substantially the same color as a portion of the medical device before being exposed to the particular environment, and the indicator is configured to change color after a single exposure to the particular environment (pg. 13-14, ¶ 144).

Since Truckai ('732) discloses that the thermochromic chemical indicator can change from translucent to red, the indicator effectively has the same color as the medical device before being exposed to the particular environment.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,190

Art Unit: 3739

The claim differs from Truckai ('732) in calling for the indicator to be printed on the device. Truckai ('637), however, discloses creating a thermochromic chemical indicator coating analogous to that of Truckai ('732) by printing a thermochromic ink layer 512 (pg. 13-14, ¶ 145 and Fig. 26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have printed the thermochromic indicator on the device of Truckai ('732) in view of the teaching of (Truckai '637) as an obvious way of creating a thermochromic indicator coating that is known in the art.

Regarding claim 2, Truckai ('732) further discloses that the medical device comprises a handle, a distal end effector, and an elongate portion connecting the handle to the distal end effector (Fig. 25).

Regarding claim 4, Truckai ('732) further discloses that the handle comprises a ring portion and an elongate portion (Fig. 25).

Regarding claim 8, Truckai ('732) further discloses that the particular environment includes a chemical, since the device changes color in response to a rise in tissue temperature.

Regarding claim 10, Truckai ('732) further discloses that the particular environment includes radiation, since heat comprises radiation.

Regarding claim 12, Truckai ('732) further discloses that the indicator is configured to be a different color than a portion of the medical device after being exposed to the particular environment (pg. 13-14, ¶ 144).

Regarding claim 13, Truckai ('732) further discloses that the indicator is produced directly on a surface of the medical device (pg. 13, ¶ 142-143 and Fig. 24).

Regarding claim 34, Truckai ('732) further discloses that the indicator is stationary relative to the device during the color change (Fig. 24).

Claims 7, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Truckai ('732) in view of Truckai ('637) and further in view of Woloszko ('469).

Regarding claim 7, the claim differs from Truckai ('732) in view of Truckai ('637) in calling for the indicator to show a symbol when it undergoes the color change. Woloszko, however, teaches using thermochromic indictors that show numeric temperature values when they undergo the color change in order to directly indicate the temperature on the device (pg. 12, ¶ 116 and Fig. 9B). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used thermochromic indicators in the device of Truckai ('732) in view of Truckai ('637) that show a symbol when they undergo the color change further in view of the teaching of Woloszko in order to directly indicate the temperature on the device.

Regarding claim 14, the claim differs from Truckai ('732) in view of Truckai ('637) in calling for the indicator to include a plurality of indicators. Woloszko, however, teaches a plurality of thermochromic indicators in order to indicate multiple temperature thresholds instead of just one (pg. 11-12, ¶ 114, 116 and Figs. 9A-B). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a plurality of thermochromic indicators in the device of Truckai

('732) in view of Truckai ('637) further in view of the teaching Woloszko in order to indicate multiple temperature thresholds instead of just one.

Regarding claim 15, Woloszko further discloses that each of the plurality of indicators undergoes a color change different from the other of the plurality of indicators (pg. 11-12, ¶ 114).

Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Truckai ('732) in view of Truckai ('637) and further in view of Ie (U.S. PGPub 2003/0036747 A1).

Regarding claim 37, the claim differs from Truckai ('732) in view of Truckai ('637) in calling for the chemical to be configured to change to a first color when exposed to a first environment and change to a second color different from the first color when exposed to a second environment different from the first environment. le, however, teaches using a thermochromic chemical that changes from purple in varying degrees depending upon temperature to bright pink or red (pg. 2, ¶ 30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a thermochromic chemical in the device of Truckai ('732) in view of Truckai ('637) that changes colors as claimed further in view of the teaching of le as an obvious alternate thermochromic chemical for indicating gradations in temperature change that is known in the art.

Claims 39, 43, and 45-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woloszko ('469) in view of le ('747).

Application/Control Number: 10/720,190 Page 9

Art Unit: 3739

Regarding claim 39, the claim differs from Woloszko in calling for the chemical to be configured to change to a first color when exposed to a first environment and change to a second color different from the first color when exposed to a second environment different from the first environment. Ie, however, teaches using a thermochromic chemical that changes from purple in varying degrees depending upon temperature to bright pink or red (pg. 2, ¶ 30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a thermochromic chemical in the device of Woloszko that changes colors as claimed further in view of the teaching of le as an obvious alternate thermochromic chemical for indicating gradations in temperature change that is known in the art.

Regarding claim 43, see the preceding rejections of claims 24 and 39.

Regarding claim 45, see the preceding rejections of claims 31 and 43.

Regarding claim 46, see the preceding rejections of claims 24 and 43.

Regarding claim 47, see the preceding rejections of claims 32 and 43.

Regarding claim 48, see the preceding rejections of claims 43 and 47 and only the part of the rejection of claim 15 that corresponds to Woloszko.

Regarding claim 49, see the preceding rejections of claims 36 and 43.

Regarding claim 50, see the preceding rejections of claims 24 and 43.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 5, 9, 28, 33, 51, and 60 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 16, 18-23, 35, and 38 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

| US 4382063 A | USPAT | Romito; Vincent A. et al. |
|-------------------|---|--|
| US 5359993 A | USPAT | Slater; Charles R. et al. |
| US 5384264 A | USPAT | Chen; Ted M. et al. |
| US 5518927 A | USPAT | Malchesky; Paul S. et al. |
| US 5602040 A | USPAT | May; Keith et al. |
| US 5657764 A | USPAT | Coulter; Christopher C. et al. |
| US 5662712 A | USPAT | Pathak; Chandrashekhar P. et al. |
| US 5739041 A | USPAT | Nazareth; Albert et al. |
| US 5786220 A | USPAT | Pronovost; Allan D. et al. |
| US 5900379 A | USPAT | Noda; Hiroto et al. |
| US 6140136 A | USPAT | Lee; Jin Po |
| US 6174309 B1 | USPAT | Wrublewski; Thomas A. et al. |
| US 6218189 B1 | USPAT | Antonoplos; Patricia A. et al. |
| US 20020110931 A1 | US-PGPUB | Quattrocchi, Richard A. et al. |
| US 20030036747 A1 | US-PGPUB | le, John M. Mc et al. |
| US 20030216733 A1 | US-PGPUB | McClurken, Michael E. et al. |
| US 20030216724 A1 | US-PGPUB | Jahns, Scott E. |
| US 20040049172 A1 | US-PGPUB | Root, Thomas V. et al. |
| US 20040253142 A1 | US-PGPUB | Brewster, Barry Sinclair et al. |
| US 20040265778 A1 | US-PGPUB | Kliff, Howard et al. |
| | US 5359993 A US 5384264 A US 5518927 A US 5602040 A US 5657764 A US 5662712 A US 5739041 A US 5786220 A US 5900379 A US 6140136 A US 6174309 B1 US 6218189 B1 US 20020110931 A1 US 20030036747 A1 US 20030216733 A1 US 20030216724 A1 US 20040049172 A1 US 20040253142 A1 | US 5359993 A USPAT US 5384264 A USPAT US 5518927 A USPAT US 5602040 A USPAT US 5657764 A USPAT US 5662712 A USPAT US 5739041 A USPAT US 5786220 A USPAT US 5900379 A USPAT US 6140136 A USPAT US 6174309 B1 USPAT US 6218189 B1 USPAT US 6218189 B1 USPAT US 20020110931 A1 US-PGPUB US 20030036747 A1 US-PGPUB US 20030216733 A1 US-PGPUB US 20040049172 A1 US-PGPUB US 20040049172 A1 US-PGPUB |

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alex B. Toy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1953. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,190 Page 11

Art Unit: 3739

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AT AT 11/9/06